

2020 Presidential Election Lawsuits – the Facts

It's beyond exasperating to keep hearing the cacophony of chicanery about the 2020 Presidential election-related lawsuits.

The Left's message to the public is that *there were no consequential 2020 Presidential election malfeasance, irregularities or illegalities – supposedly because the courts objectively and thoroughly investigated those claims, and ruled them to be unfounded.*

Neither element of that assertion is even remotely true.

To counter the later part of that false narrative, a team of independent volunteer (unpaid) scientists and engineers recently put together a [List of Lawsuits](#) involving the 2020 Presidential election. In it we identified the issues at stake, how each case was treated by the courts, what evidence was objectively analyzed, who won and lost, etc.

We tried to walk a narrow line of not only having a comprehensive list, but also information easy enough for the public to understand. (For example, since none of us are attorneys, we consciously tried to avoid unnecessary legal jargon.)

To further assist in the understanding of this important list, we simplified 20+ pages of filings and decisions on each case into a one or two sentence summary. (If we didn't do justice to any of these, please let me know and I'll issue an update.)

Another idea we implemented was to color-code the decisions – to make it easy for the reader to segregate the various outcomes.

Lastly, we passed this list by over a dozen lawyers involved with election-related lawsuits. The typical response we received was “Excellent!”.

So what are the takeaways?

To begin with our list shows that there have been **ninety (90)** lawsuits filed that are relevant to the 2020 Presidential election. (*Note 1: we are counting an original filing, plus additional appeals as one single case. Note 2: other lawsuits are possibly undiscovered.*)

The results *to date* are:

- a) **Twelve** cases have been withdrawn or consolidated. (These are not wins or losses to either side.)
- b) **Thirty-nine** cases have been stopped from proceeding (dismissed) due to legal technicalities (standing, timing, jurisdiction, etc.). *These have nothing to do with the merits of the case* and should also not be considered wins or losses for either side.

That more than a third of the lawsuits were not allowed to proceed to an evidentiary hearing is more of an indictment that many judges appear to be afraid of opening this Pandora's box. Considering the importance of election integrity to our country, it's a shame for them to hide behind subjective legal technicalities. How is that in the interest of the citizens in our country?

In any case, this leaves us with **Thirty-nine (39)** lawsuits relevant to the 2020 Presidential election where a judge *has* ruled (or hopefully will rule) *on the merits*. The results so far are:

- c) **Twenty-five** cases are completed (adjudicated). These are where the court heard arguments, considered evidence (where applicable), and then formally ruled on statutory issues (e.g. the legality of a state's election process), etc. Of these:
 - i) **Eighteen** cases were WON by Trump, et al, *and*
 - ii) **Seven** cases were lost by Trump, et al.
- d) **Fourteen** cases are still active and have not yet been decided – so the ultimate winner and loser of these cases has **not** been determined.

So, Trump (et al) have WON the majority of 2020 election cases fully heard, and then decided on the merits! *Is that what the mainstream media is reporting?*

Note that despite all the noise about fraud, only three (3) of these lawsuits materially dealt with **voter illegalities** (citizens voting twice, votes from deceased persons, etc.). Interestingly, all three of these cases are still open.

Further, just six (6) lawsuits addressed **voting machine inaccuracies** (purposeful or accidental). Two of these were dismissed (due to jurisdiction), one was ruled against (although no discovery was granted), and three are still open (discovery was granted).

The likely explanation for so few cases in these two areas is that legally proving fraud or voting machine manipulations are very time-consuming processes, that require substantial investigative work and documentation. There simply wasn't enough time to do this prior to key points in the process (like the Electoral College). Additionally, it's likely that any machine algorithms would be erased by the time a forensic investigation takes place.

Our view is that the public needs to be **much** better educated regarding the election integrity issue – and having a more accurate understanding of the lawsuit component is a key part of that.

John Droz, jr.

Physicist

North Carolina

7-16-21

PS – For a list of some of the other 2020 Presidential election related analyses by the same team, go to [Election-Integrity.info](https://www.electionintegrity.info).