

Some Landowner
Considerations:
on Solar Contracts

There's No Free Lunch

Due to the unprecedented amounts of monies being available in the energy area (Trillions of dollars), there is a relentless frenzy to siphon off these funds by lobbyists and other self-serving organizations.

What's lost in the process is sound Scientific thinking, and any genuine interest in benefiting citizens, our environment, or our society.

The purpose of this material is to try to put some balance into a particularly egregious infestation: solar energy developers targeting some of our hardest working, most responsible citizens — farmers.

Slick salespeople descend on rural communities, full well knowing that they are dealing with inexperienced, non-technical citizens. They take advantage of these people's trusting nature and make speculative, one-sided claims.

To counter this propaganda, please see our website: "WiseEnergy.org", and carefully look over what's there. The underlying message is that our energy decisions should be made on the basis of **sound SCIENCE** — **not** on what self-serving lobbyists say.

The future of this issue lies in whether or not citizens get properly educated about basic energy matters. After getting more up-to-speed, they then need to do some **Critical Thinking** about this matter. Unfortunately, Critical Thinking has become somewhat of a lost art — and that has lead to serious consequences to our society as a whole.

This PDF has been made to be in printable format $(8.5" \times 11")$, so consider doing that. We are not lawyers, so none of this should be construed as legal advice. Please hire a competent aggressive attorney to protect your rights.

If there is interest in it, I will consider giving this as a live presentation.

Please see my brief "resume," the copyright notice, disclaimer, and contact info on the last page. "References" are on the page prior to the end. [Note that updates on this document are indicated by a new date on this page.]

— ENJOY!

john droz, jr.

-WiseEnergy.org has a similar presentation for wind energy.

You've been offered a deal that sounds too good to be true: Big Money, No Work, No Risk, etc.

How can you pass that up?

The following pages touch on 30+ legal and economic issues that landowners (especially farmers) who are considering leasing to a solar developer, should seriously consider before signing anything.



#1 - The typical landowner/solar developer agreement is among the most inequitable and restrictive contracts anywhere.

One lawyer said a wind contract turned out to be:

"the most one-sided, unconscionable, overreaching contract I had ever examined in my
entire fifty-four years of law practice!"

(A solar contract can be similar.)

The result is that the landowner gives up an **exceptional** amount of rights, and can essentially **become a** *caretaker* **for much of his property**.



#2 - The developer a landowner deals with, is NOT their friend or partner. The developer has ONE objective: to maximize his profit — regardless of the impact on the landowner!

John Adams, esq:

"The net result of the agreement is to essentially dedicate the **whole property** to the operation of a [solar] plant, and **anything** inconsistent with that operation will be prevented."



#3 - The developer that landowners are dealing with now, will NOT likely be the people they will be dealing with in a few years.

(These projects can be resold several times, as tax breaks and incentives get used up.)

There will be **no one** to complain to that: "this is what I was told" or "this is what I was promised."

Furthermore, subsequent solar project owners will likely be **shell corporations with no assets**.



#4 - There are major differences between leases and easements. Most solar contracts are actually easement agreements.

University of California, Real Estate Group:

"A **lease** is an agreement in which the landlord agrees to give the tenant the exclusive right to occupy real property, usually for a specific term."

"An easement, gives the owner's permission, to use his real property. It transfers to the easement holder an interest in the real property that encumbers the record title." Terminating easement rights can be difficult and expensive.

#5 - A contract: where two parties commit to exchange something of equal value. This implies a BALANCE of responsibilities and rights between the parties.

The most important question a landowner should ask a competent attorney: "is this solar contract a balanced agreement?"

Any objective assessment would say **NO**. (See some reasons why in the following **13** pages...)



#6 - Unbalanced example "a":
 It's usually specified that the
 developer may "enjoy" the land owner's property without any
 interference by the owner (or
 anyone else), & that the owner
 must actively "protect and
 defend" the developer's rights.

Does the contract commit the solar developer to **protect** and **defend** the **landowner's** right to enjoy his own property? **NO!**

#7 - Unbalanced example "b":

If someone gets hurt in any
way, on or off the property,
possibly due to the solar
facility, the landowner
may be held legally liable.

Am example would be if the solar project excretes toxic chemicals that may get into local aquifers. Why should the landowner have any liability from actions or inactions of the developer?



#8 - Unbalanced example "c":

If the landowner is sued
because of actions/inactions
of the developer, some solar
contracts state the landowner
must pay his own legal costs.

Department of Agricultural, Food and Resource Economics, Michigan State University:

"Litigation with a third party can arise in many situations. For example, assume a neighbor, claiming to be affected by the [solar facility], sues to halt the operation..." The cost of responding to that lawsuit should be borne by the developer.



#9 - Unbalanced example "d":

Some solar contracts have an "assignment" clause. This lets the developer assign the contract and turbines to any party of his choosing —without the landowner's permission (or sometimes knowledge).

The terms of any contract are only as good as the parties behind them. Subsequent assignees will likely be shell, paper corporations with no assets.



#10-Unbalanced example "e":

Some solar contracts allow the developer to put a mortgage on some of the lessor's land. The contract may *require* the landowner to give permission.

If the developer (or a subsequent assignee) defaults on their mortgage, the landowner could permanently lose some of his property.



#11-Unbalanced example "f":
Developers usually demand extraordinarily broad rights
— like extensive easements.

Martinsburg Wind Energy contract #4.1.1:

"An easement, right and entitlement on, over, across and under Property for any audio, visual, view, light, noise, vibration, air turbulence, wake, shadow flicker, electromagnetic, television reception, ice or other weather created hazards or other effect of any kind whatsoever..."

(A solar contract can have similar terms.)



#12-Unbalanced example "g":
Easements are NOT an
ordinary part of a lease,
and are not advisable.

Ontario Federation of Agriculture:

"Do not allow a conversion to an easement as it will be more difficult, perhaps impossible, to discharge at the end."



#13-Unbalanced example "h":

The developer protects his financial interests, but there are usually no provisions to protect the landowner's (e.g. the value of his property).

Ontario Federation of Agriculture #13:

"Add a clause requiring the [solar] developer to make whole any losses in resale value that might occur as a result of the lease or a turbine being in place. Such losses might not be covered by rent."

#14-Unbalanced example "i":

The developer often demands exceptional, open-ended rights, which can even include future unspecified solar development.

Martinsburg (NY) Wind contract:

"Undertaking any activities that the Developer (or a sublessee) determines are necessary, helpful, appropriate or convenient in connection with, incidental to or for the benefit of one or more Projects."

(A solar contract can be similar.)



#15-Unbalanced example "j":

The developer usually gives himself the right to basically terminate the contract at will.

Most landowners neglect to include terms about **their** rights and options for termination.

In contracts prepared by solar developers, landowners are never automatically given the right to terminate at will!



#16-Unbalanced example "k":

Contracts typically say that the state where the developer is incorporated (e.g. Delaware) determines applicable laws, & where court cases will be heard.

Landowners should insist that laws and court venues, be from the Landowner's home state.



#17-Unbalanced example "I":

It is sometimes specified that the landowner is prohibited from making any negative comments about the solar project.

What good reason justifies removing a person's fundamental right of free speech?

Why would anyone consent to giving up such a significant Constitutional freedom?



#18-Unbalanced example "m":

The developer is an LLC. That means that even if the land-owner has a <u>legitimate</u> claim about the solar project, that the developer has shielded himself from most lawsuits.

An LLC is a "Limited Liability Corporation."
Why would anyone enter into an agreement,
where the other party is **immune** from
many claims against them?



#19-If a landowner has a mortgage on his property, granting an easement to a solar developer may trigger a default, and necessitate full payment of said mortgage.

Farmer's Legal Action Group:

"In most cases, when there is a mortgage on the land, the terms of the landowner's agreement with the lender will require that that lender be involved in the negotiations with the developer."

#20-Several NY leaseholders had liens put on their property, due to a dispute the wind developer had with contractors over reportedly unpaid bills. These legal filings were against the landowner, not the developer.

The same situation can happen with solar, and this shows how easily leaseholders can be legally dragged into something that they have nothing to do with — and no control over.

#21-In many cases, local laws don't do a good job of addressing decommissioning. This means the landowner may become liable for substantial costs when the solar facility is eventually abandoned.

Since these costs are **substantial**, the landowner should NOT leave this matter up to legislators to specify. Those representatives have no obligation to protect the landowner! It is **solely** the landowner's responsibility to assure that the developer will properly decommission the property.

#22-A solar energy contract can undermine farmland value — beyond the owner's lifetime.

Wind Energy in NYS — Issues for Landowners:

"Often the highest prices for farms or large plots of land are not offered by other farmers, but by residential developers. Not having the ability to sell your land for its maximum value represents a very substantial cost that may be on the scale of hundreds of thousands of dollars."



#23-When a solar facility is
erected on a parcel, the
assessed value of that
property often goes up.
{In NYS, payment of additional
property taxes is exempt for a
period of only 15 years (see NYS
Real Prop Tax Law, Sec 487).}

Each state handles this differently (so check yours). {In NY if the developer defaults or goes bankrupt after the 15 years, the property owner would be liable for a substantial increase in property taxes.}



#24-Having solar panels on farm property may have adverse health affects for people on the landowner's property and on his neighbors'.

For example, there is evidence that solar panels have PFAS chemicals, which are toxic. If these leech off the panels and go into groundwater, they may cause an increase in cancer risk.

#25-A variety of events can trigger a contract default — which frees the developer from all obligations, including making any more landowner payments.

Martinsburg Wind contract #27.1 & 27.3:

"Failure to perform any covenants, conditions or terms of this Lease, including but not limited to the obligation to pay the taxes... or failure to make a payment when due on a mortgage, or to allow the Operating Areas to become subject to a lien..." (A solar contract can be similar.)

#26-It is in the legal interest of the landowner to have this contract publicly recorded. Some solar developers circumvent this by recording a "Memorandum" instead of the real agreement.

Martinsburg Wind contract Witnesseth & #9:

"Upon execution of this Lease, the Parties shall execute a Memorandum, and take all reasonable steps and execute all documents necessary to record the Memorandum with any appropriate governmental entity. Lessor consents to such recordings."

(A solar contract can be similar.)

#27-What if (after signing an agreement), a landowner finds that the developer gave more favorable terms to a neighbor?

Bernard Nordling, esq:

The landowner has few, if any options — unless they wisely included a "favored nations" clause in their agreement with the developer.



#28-Most solar contracts tie up a property for 20+ years.
That is a VERY LONG time.

Bernard Nordling, esq:

The landowner should give very careful thought as to how the developer's legal constraints on the property will affect any possible future sale or transfer. A lot can happen in 20+ years!



#29-Having solar panels on their property adds new risks, and will substantially increase the landowner's need for extra insurance coverage.

Bernard Nordling, esq:

Before signing any agreement, the costs of this new insurance should be carefully calculated, and factored it.



#30-Having solar panels on their property can adversely affect federal estate taxes, which may come into play in 20+ yrs.

Roger McEowen, professor of Agricultural Law:

"The placement of [solar panels] on farmland will impact valuation for federal estate tax purposes upon the owner's death."



#31-Having solar panels on farm property may make the farm ineligible for certain federal subsidy programs.

Roger McEowen, professor of Agricultural Law:

"For farmers considering [solar] energy easements and participating in the Direct and Counter-cyclical Payment Program, authorized by the 2002 Farm Bill, there is a prohibition against making non-agricultural use of acreage enrolled in the program."



#32-In addition to paying for legal and financial advice, etc. the landowner will incur additional costs to fulfill this contract.

Farmer's Legal Action Group:

"In most cases, before finalizing a [solar] contract a developer will want a title report prepared by a title insurance company... Many developers will also require a physical survey of the property...."



#33-Contracts are for the solar panel plots, but there are "sweeping ancillary rights" that affect the rest of the property.

John Adams, esq: says these include—

- roads and general access to each of the [panels] to be as the developer determines,
- transmission lines and transformer stations to be sited as the developer determines,
- the right to patrol property (security guards),
- the right to remove trees on and immediately adjacent to the licensed lands,
- the right to veto (for any reason) any structure within 3000± feet of [panels].

#34-Watch these short videos!

(Click to watch - or go to WiseEnergy.org.)



Wind Turbine Land Agent Part 1



Wind Turbine Land Agent Part 2

(Although these are about wind, similar things happen with solar.)



Wind Turbine Land Agent Part 3



Wind Turbine Land Agent Part 4



These are just a sample of the ramifications (economic and legal) that can occur with these very restrictive leases... Please consult a competent, aggressive attorney, and a CPA for legal and financial advice.

The key message to remember: "There is no free lunch!"

One of the main arguments used by landowners for entering into solar agreements, is that they have the right to do whatever they want to with their land.

Yet by signing most solar contracts they agree to **give up** an **enormous amount** of their **rights** as to what they can subsequently do with their land!



What if a Landowner has already signed a contract? They should consult with a lawyer to see what their options are.

Some Possible Outs Are —

1 - misrepresentation on the part of the developer,

2 - if the developer withheld some key information,

3 - the developer didn't live up to some condition,

4 - the landowner got inadequate legal representation,

5 - claim based on it being an Adhesion Contract,

6 - violation of the "right of quiet enjoyment" of the property (*the hardest to prove*).





for Giving This Matter, Some Critical Thought!

— Some Sample References —

```
Page 1 &49: << http://www.worldofmonopoly.co.uk/fansite/>>
Page 4: <<a href="http://swkroa.com/docs/wind_energy_speech_6.pdf">http://swkroa.com/docs/wind_energy_speech_6.pdf</a>>
Page 4: <<a href="http://betterplan.squarespace.com/wisconsin-farmer-regrets-sayin/">http://betterplan.squarespace.com/wisconsin-farmer-regrets-sayin/>>
Page 5: <<http://tinyurl.com/p36zntu>>
Page 7: <<a href="http://tinyurl.com/mb3phbd">http://tinyurl.com/mb3phbd>>
Page 8: <<a href="http://tinyurl.com/cvpwuwo">>
Page 11: <<https://www.msu.edu/~steind/WindLease-Easement_WorkSheet-V5.pdf>>
Page 14: << http://docs.wind-watch.org/TugHillLease.pdf>>
Page 15: << http://tinyurl.com/ma7jlad>>
Page 16: << http://docs.wind-watch.org/wind-power-lease-suggestions.pdf>>
Page 17: << http://docs.wind-watch.org/TugHillLease.pdf>>
Page 21: <<a href="https://www.incorporate.com/limited_liability_company.html">https://www.incorporate.com/limited_liability_company.html</a>>
Page 22: << http://tinyurl.com/mezzcuk>>
Page 23: <<a href="http://rivercitymalone.com/wind-energy/liens-r-us/">http://rivercitymalone.com/wind-energy/liens-r-us/<>
Page 24: << http://tinyurl.com/k7fpewl>>
Page 25: << http://tinyurl.com/n73fa66>>
Page 26: << http://tinyurl.com/pwuocfs>>
Page 28: << http://docs.wind-watch.org/TugHillLease.pdf>>
Page 29: << http://docs.wind-watch.org/TugHillLease.pdf>>
Page 30: << http://swkroa.com/docs/wind_energy_speech_6.pdf>>
Page 31: << http://swkroa.com/docs/wind_energy_speech_6.pdf>>
Page 32: <<a href="http://swkroa.com/docs/wind_energy_speech_6.pdf">http://swkroa.com/docs/wind_energy_speech_6.pdf</a>>
Page 33: << http://tinyurl.com/ph5pps9>>
Page 34: << http://tinyurl.com/ph5pps9>>
Page 35: << http://tinyurl.com/mezzcuk>>
Page 36: <<http://tinyurl.com/p36zntu>>
Page 37: << http://tinyurl.com/qgf4dp3>> /q6n9zz2 /pqjy8g7 & /kee7pac
```

Page 40: <> [Adhesion Contract]

John Droz, jr. is NOT a lawyer, but is a physicist. He has been an activist for environmental issues for 40± years. The primary objective of his free help is to educate citizens about protecting their health, safety and welfare.

He received undergraduate degrees in physics and mathematics from Boston College, and a graduate degree in physics from Syracuse University. He has been a participating member of several environmental organizations (like the Adirondack Council, Adirondack Wild, Protect, and the Sierra Club). [Disclaimer: The science oriented views expressed in this presentation may not necessarily reflect the political agenda of these organizations.]

These areas of interest and expertise (science & environmentalism) have merged with his focus on energy matters. John's basic position is that we should be taking **aggressive** measures to solve our energy and pollution issues, but should not be wasting time and money on *illusionary* solutions — which are primarily promoted by those with vested financial or political interests in them.

This presentation is copyrighted and is for personal use only. Any quoting, reproduction, referencing, or other use of this material is prohibited without the author's express written consent. Such consent will usually be granted for the purpose of putting on an educational community presentation.

All information is believed to be accurate, but is not guaranteed. If errors are noted, please email John the scientific evidence of same and a correction will be made.

For questions, comments or permission to use any material in this presentation, please email John at: "aaprjohn at northnet dot org".

As has been emphasized several times, please consult with a competent attorney before entering into any legal contract — especially one with the extraordinary complexity that a typical solar energy lease/easement is.